

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,756	01/30/2001	Mohammed Nafie	T1-31308	9448
23494	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
	5474, M/S 3999			B. BED. N. 1995
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/772,756	NAFIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Betsy L. Deppe	2611	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ____ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ __. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2611

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. (See attachment for response to applicant's arguments) The rejections of 2/14/06 are maintained for appeal.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,756

Art Unit: 2611

ADVISORY ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejections of claims 24-27 under 35 USC 103(a) in the Office Action mailed February 14, 2006 will be maintained on appeal.
- 2. In response to the applicant's argument on pages 5-6 that the combination of the teaching in Widdowson and Roberts results in a combination inoperable for its intended purpose, Widdowson does not preclude the subtraction of narrowband signals in different frequency bands. Since Roberts involves different frequency bands, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Widdowson to each of the various overlapping frequency bands identified by the hop frequency predictor of Roberts in order to optimize the reduction of any and all narrowband interference.
- 3. In response applicant's argument on page 6, lines 4-6 that no one will consider combining the methods and that these two methods solve distinct narrowband interference problems, Widdowson identifies the problem of using programmable notch filters to reduce narrowband signal interference (see column 1, lines 43 column 2, line 37) and discloses that his invention overcomes the problem. Since Roberts uses an adaptive notch filter to reduce narrowband signal interference in his system (see column

3, lines 60-64), one of ordinary skill in the art would consider and combine the teachings of Widdowson to improve the system of Roberts.

- 4. In response to the Applicant's arguments in the last paragraph on page 6 regarding the processing of data in real-time, even if the determination of the frequency band used by the frequency hopping device must be made in real-time, the storage of the received data provides certain advantages including ensuring the availability of the data for subsequent processing not necessarily related to the determination of the frequency band. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stored the received data in the device disclosed by Roberts in view of Widdowson in order to ensure that the data is available for subsequent processing.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,756

Art Unit: 2611

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6. Please note that the application is now assigned to Art Unit 2611.

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611